

Rowhammering Storage Devices

Tao Zhang, Boris Pismenny, Donald E. Porter Dan Tsafrir, Aviad Zuck



THE UNIVERSITY of NORTH CAROLINA at CHAPEL HILL



The Story...



Explore the feasibility of rowhammering the DRAM inside an SSD, using *only standard storage commands*.



Understand whether the *small embedded system within the device* is vulnerable to the same rowhammer attack like a "big" system.



- DRAM cell array
 - Addressed by row/column



- DRAM cell array
 - Addressed by row/column
 - Bits stored as electric charges



- DRAM cell array
 - Addressed by row/column
 - Bits stored as electric charges
 - Cells lose charge over time



• DRAM cell array

- Addressed by row/column
- Bits stored as electric charges
- Cells lose charge over time
 - Refresh rows in intervals (64ms)

Rowhammer attack



- Disturbance error
 - Aggressor row: Repeatedly open/close
 - Victim(adjacent) row: charge leaks faster
 - Leak faster than refresh, bitflip happens

Rowhammer attack



Outcomes of Rowhammer Attack



Data corruption



Information leak



Privilege escalation

Trends in DRAM Technology



How to Rowhammer SSD?





SSD works as a black box, little internals known



Reveal internals by reverse engineering

Not able to run rowhammer code directly



Find an indirect way for rowhammering

SSD Reverse Engineering



- SSD as a "computer system"
 - 3 core Cortex-R4 ARMv7 CPU
 - 512MiB LPDDR3 DRAM
 - 120GiB NAND flash

SSD DRAM

- FTL runtime code & data
- Buffer for incoming I/O commands
- Logical-to-physical mapping table (L2P)
 - Linear table, hash table
- DRAM accesses are uncached



Can we rowhammer it?

L2P Table



Rowhammering the L2P Table





Latest PCIe 4.0 NVMe SSDs provide ~1.5M IOPS, compare to decreasing access rate for rowhammer (150K access/s)

Outcomes of Rowhammering SSD?





Privilege escalation

Need SSD-oriented exploits to turn bitflips into meaningful results.

Ext4 Direct/Indirect Block Addressing



Attacking the Ext4 Indirect Block



Conclusions

- Threats of rowhammer attack extended to a new dimension, storage devices
 - What about other attacks targeting host-side hardware?
 - What are possible mitigations?
 - Do we have a more principled solution?



Discussions



Attack Scenario: Cloud Server



Emulated with Intel SPDK

- L2P placed on rowhammer vulnerable uncached DRAM region
- Amplified L2P access to compensate relatively slow hardware

Unprivileged attacker process in victim system:

- Has normal access to owned files
 - read/write/create/delete

Attacker VM shares the same SSD with victim:

- Hardware pass-through to SSD partition
 - SRIOV or namespace

FTL manages L2P table and physical blocks, shared between VMs.

Accesses to empty LBAs (LBAs unwritten/TRIMed) are served faster

Attack Scenario: Single System



Attacker has a normal process in victim system

- Has unprivileged access to owned files
- Fast direct access to the underlying storage
 - 0_DIRECT and libaio/io_uring

Attacker needs SSD that can serve read to **non-empty** LBAs fast enough

Mitigations

- Mitigations for host-side rowhammer attacks (e.g. ECC, TRR, cache)
 - Impact on performance, cost-efficiency, power-efficiency
 - Attacks circumventing these mitigations available
- Hardening the FTL
 - Stronger isolation between partitions/namespaces
 - Randomize FTL-internal structures
- Enforce block-level data integrity protection and encryption
 - Enforce extent tree addressing for Ext4
 - Can't stop data corruption